

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/784,209	Applicant(s) TODA ET AL.	
	Examiner Deborah K. Ware	Art Unit 1651	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Deborah K. Ware.

(3) _____.

(2) Gary Tanigawa.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
not discussed per se

Claims discussed:
claims 1-16

Prior art documents discussed:
not discussed per se

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed changes to the claims which were brought up during a patentability conference held on February 15, 2007, to put the case into condition for allowance. No authorization was given because Applicants did not contact their Representative to authorize the changes..